



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,587	02/12/2002	Douglas E. Brenneman	15280W-0021US	9701	
75	90 07/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Annette S Parent Townsend and Townsend and Crew Two Embarcadero Center 8th Floor			STANDLEY, STEVEN H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco,	CA 94111-3834		1649		
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/049,587	BRENNEMAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven H. Standley	1646			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-80</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8)[_]	Claim(s) <u>1-80</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•			
Attach	t/a)					
Attachmen  1) Notic	τ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			
	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/049,587

Art Unit: 1646

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9, and 42-53 drawn to ADNF I and a method of inhibiting neuronal cell death ADNF I.

Group II, claim(s) 10-18, drawn to ADNF III.

Group III, claim(s) 19-41, drawn to pharmaceutical compositions comprising ADNF I and ADNF III.

Group IV, claims 42-53 (in part), and 54-55 drawn to a method of inhibiting neuronal cell death associated with HIV.

Group V, claims 42-53 (in part), and 56 drawn to a method of inhibiting n-methyl-d-aspartate related neuronal cell death.

Group VI, claims 42-53 (in part), and 57-58 drawn to a method of inhibiting cell death related to beta amyloid deposition and cholinergic blockade in Alzheimer's disease.

Group VII, claims 59-69, drawn to a method of treating oxidative stress in a patient.

Group VIII, claims 70-80 drawn to a method of treating fetal alcohol syndrome in a subject.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The first stated technical feature is a polypeptide having the core sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1. Group I

Art Unit: 1646

includes said polypeptide and the first method of using the polypeptide. The remaining groups are drawn to different products (i.e. polypeptides with different core sequences), as well as different methods that do not share the same special technical feature.

## Requirement for further Restriction Within Group I

3. Group I is drawn to multiple patentably distinct polypeptides. If applicant elects Group I for prosecution on the merits, further restriction is required. Applicant must elect a single polypeptide, each identified by SEQ ID NO: from the following list.

SEQ ID NO: 1

SEQ ID NO: 14

SEQ ID NO: 15

SEQ ID NO: 16

SEQ ID NO: 17

SEQ ID NO: 18

SEQ ID NO: 19

The above-listed polypeptides are each unique chemical structures with different physical and biological properties. Therefor they do not share a special technical feature with one another.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,587 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

## Requirement for further Restriction Within Group II

4. Group II is drawn to multiple patentably distinct polypeptides. If applicant elects Group II for prosecution on the merits, further restriction is required. Applicant must elect a single polypeptide, each identified by SEQ ID NO: from the following list.

SEQ ID NO: 2

SEQ ID NO: 20

SEQ ID NO: 21

SEQ ID NO: 22

SEQ ID NO: 23

The above-listed polypeptides are each unique chemical structures with different physical and biological properties. Therefor they do not share a special technical feature with one another.

Applicant is advised that the above-listed requirements for further restriction are not species elections.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a

Art Unit: 1646

matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed toward examiner Steven Standley (Ph: 571-272-3432). The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the Steven Standley fail, the examiners' supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached at (571 272-0829).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (toll free) 866-217-9197.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306 (before final rejection) or (703)872-9307 (after final). Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to 571-273-0893.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven H. Standley, Ph.D.

6/23/0/5

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600